## Fiscal Estimate - 2003 Session

☑ Original ☑ Updated	Corrected Supp	lemental							
LRB Number 03-3396/2	Introduction Number SB-29	6							
Subject									
Exemption for commercial boathouses									
Fiscal Effect									
Appropriations Re	crease Existing evenues crease Existing ecrease Existing evenues Costs - May to absorb within agence evenues Costs Costs	be possible cy's budget No							
Local:  No Local Government Costs  Indeterminate  1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Districts  5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts									
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations  GPR FED PRS SEG SEGS 20.370(4)(ma), 20.370(4)(bi)									
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date							
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	11/19/2003							

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 11/20/2003

LRB Number 03-3396/2	Introduction Number	SB-296	Estimate Type	Original					
Subject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7							
Exemption for commercial boathouses									

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Bill Summary:

Senate Bill 296 provides an exception from boathouse construction and repair limits for commercial boathouses under certain conditions.

Fiscal Estimate: The bill provides an exception, and thus eliminates revenue from boathouse repair applications that are currently processed. Very few projects are anticipated to qualify for the exception, and so any loss of revenue due to reduction in boathouse repair certifications is expected to be minimal. New boathouse construction which is currently prohibited would now be allowed, with no fiscal impact.

The Department will need to revise an administrative rule to explain the process for an individual to demonstrate eligibility for the exception. The rule revision will require an estimated 200 staff hours at an estimated cost of \$6,000. This assumes the Department would hold one public hearing, and that the rule making would not require stakeholder or technical advisory committees. Most of the work would probably be done by policy staff earning \$25-30/hour.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications** 

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2003 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original		Updated			Correcte	ed		Supplemental
LRB	Number	03-3396	/2		Intro	duction	Num	ber	SB-296
<b>Subje</b> Exemp		mercial boath	iouses						
annua	lized fiscal e	or Revenue effect): of up to \$6,0		Stat	te and/or	Local Go	vernm	ent (do	not include in
II. Ann	ualized Cos	ts:				Annualiz	ed Fis	cal Impa	act on funds from:
						Increased	Costs		Decreased Costs
A. Stat	te Costs by	Category							
State	e Operations	- Salaries an	nd Fringes				\$		
(FTE	Position Ch	anges)							
		- Other Cost	S						
Loca	al Assistance								-
Aids	to Individual	s or Organiza	ations						
TO	OTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory				\$		\$
B. Stat	te Costs by	Source of Fu	ınds						
GPR	2								
FED									
PRO	)/PRS								
SEG	/SEG-S								
III. Stat	te Revenues ıes (e.g., tax	- Complete increase, de	this only w ecrease in li	nen cen	proposa se fee, et	l will increts.)	ease o	r decrea	ise state
						Increase	d Rev		Decreased Rev
<del>                                     </del>	Taxes						\$		\$
	Earned	<u></u>							
FED		<del></del>							
	/PRS								
	/SEG-S								
TO	OTAL State I						\$		\$
			NET ANNUA	LIZI	ED FISCA	AL IMPAC	T		
							<u>State</u>		Local
	HANGE IN C			$\perp$			\$		\$
NET CI	HANGE IN R	EVENUE					\$		\$
Agenc	y/Prepared I	Зу		Aut	horized S	Signature			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe		Joe	Polasek (608) 266-2794			11/19/2003			